



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/602,268	06/23/2003		Deryck J. Williams	12557-011001	9137
26161	7590	03/28/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI		SON PC		HUTSON, R	ICHARD G
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1652	

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Action Summary	10/602,268	WILLIAMS ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Richard G. Hutson	1652
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHI WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Properson of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	1.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 L This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 1-84 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8 and 13-84 is/are Claim(s) 12 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 9-11 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on 6/23/2003 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	e withdrawn from consideration.  for election requirement.  fer.  accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) 🗍 a)(	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) ☐ Notic 3) ⊠ Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/05;12/05</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 3) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-84 are still at issue and are present for examination.

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group III, Claims 9-12, in the paper of 12/30/2005, is acknowledged.

Claims 1-8 and 13-84 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper."

Applicants filing of information disclosure statements filed on 1/7/2005 and 12/30/2005, are acknowledged. Those references considered have been initialed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1652

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 9-11 are directed to all possible purified polypeptides comprising an amino acid sequence that is a mere 80 to 95% identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9, wherein said polypeptide has an undefined function/activity. The specification, however, only provides a single representative species isolated from *M. incognita* having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9 and having phosphoethanolamine n-methyltransferase activity, encompassed by these claims. There is no disclosure of any particular structure to function/activity relationship in the single disclosed species. The specification also fails to describe additional representative species of these enzymes by any identifying structural characteristics or properties other than the activities recited in claims, for which no predictability of function is apparent. Given this lack of additional representative species as encompassed by the claims, Applicants have failed to sufficiently describe the claimed invention, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms that a skilled artisan would recognize Applicants were in possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant is referred to the revised guidelines concerning compliance with the written description requirement of U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, published in the Official Gazette and also available at www.uspto.gov.

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a polypeptide having the complete amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9, wherein said polypeptide has phosphoethanolamine n-methyltransferase activity, does not reasonably provide enablement for any polypeptide having a mere 80% identity to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required, are summarized in In re Wands (858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ 2nd 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988)) as follows: (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2) the amount of direction or guidance presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples, (4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claim(s).

Claims 9-11 are so broad as to encompass any polypeptide having a mere 80% to 95% identity to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9. The scope of the claims is not commensurate with the enablement provided by the disclosure with regard to the extremely large number of polypeptides broadly encompassed by the claims. The claims rejected under this section of U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, do not place any functional limits on the claimed enzymes. Since the amino acid sequence of a protein determines its structural and functional properties, predictability of which changes can

Art Unit: 1652

be tolerated in a protein's amino acid sequence and obtain the desired activity requires a knowledge of and guidance with regard to which amino acids in the protein's sequence, if any, are tolerant of modification and which are conserved (i.e. expectedly intolerant to modification), and detailed knowledge of the ways in which the proteins' structure relates to its function. However, in this case the disclosure is limited to those polypeptides having the complete amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9, wherein said polypeptide has phosphoethanolamine n-methyltransferase activity.

While recombinant and mutagenesis techniques are known, it is not routine in the art to screen for multiple substitutions or multiple modifications, as encompassed by the instant claims, and the positions within a protein's sequence where amino acid modifications can be made with a reasonable expectation of success in obtaining the desired activity/utility are limited in any protein and the result of such modifications is unpredictable. In addition, one skilled in the art would expect any tolerance to modification for a given protein to diminish with each further and additional modification, e.g. multiple substitutions.

The specification does not support the broad scope of the claims which encompass any modification and fragment having a mere 80% identity to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9, because the specification does not establish: (A) regions of the protein structure which may be modified without effecting the desired or phosphoethanolamine n-methyltransferase activity; (B) the general tolerance of phosphoethanolamine n-methyltransferases to modification and extent of such tolerance; (C) a rational and predictable scheme for modifying any amino acid residue

Art Unit: 1652

of a phosphoethanolamine n-methyltransferase polypeptides with an expectation of obtaining the desired biological function; and (D) the specification provides insufficient guidance as to which of the essentially infinite possible choices is likely to be successful. Because of this lack of guidance, the extended experimentation that would be required to determine which substitutions would be acceptable to retain the desired or phosphoethanolamine n-methyltransferase activity and the fact that the relationship between the sequence of a peptide and its tertiary structure (i.e. its activity) are not well understood and are not predictable (e.g., see Ngo et al. in The Protein Folding Problem and Tertiary Structure Prediction, 1994, Merz et al. (ed.), Birkhauser, Boston, MA, pp. 433 and 492-495, Ref: U, Form-892), it would require undue experimentation for one skilled in the art to arrive at the majority of those polypeptides of the claimed genus having the desired activity.

Thus, applicants have not provided sufficient guidance to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the claimed invention in a manner reasonably correlated with the scope of the claims broadly including any polypeptide comprising a mere 80% identity to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9. The scope of the claims must bear a reasonable correlation with the scope of enablement (In re Fisher, 166 USPQ 19 24 (CCPA 1970)). Without sufficient guidance, determination of those polypeptides having the desired biological characteristics is unpredictable and the experimentation left to those skilled in the art is unnecessarily, and improperly, extensive and undue. See In re Wands 858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2nd 1400 (Fed. Cir, 1988).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard G. Hutson whose telephone number is 571-272-0930. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard G Hutson, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1652

rgh 3/7/2006